

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

28 ||| Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these

1 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.
2 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same
3 judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort
4 and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

5 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
6 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
7 assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is
8 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases
9 are generally assigned to the judge to whom the first filed action
10 was assigned.

11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated 2:11-CV-
12 02924 GEB-DAD be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez for all further
13 proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case
14 only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents
15 filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:11-CV-02924 JAM-
16 GGH.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
18 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
19 compensate for this reassignment.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: January 13, 2012

22 /s/ John A. Mendez

23 U. S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

24
25
26
27
28